



LEDBURY TOWN COUNCIL

TOWN COUNCIL OFFICES . CHURCH STREET . LEDBURY

HEREFORDSHIRE HR8 1DH - Tel. (01531) 632306

e-mail: clerk@ledburytowncouncil.gov.uk

website: www.ledburytowncouncil.gov.uk

14 August 2020

TO: Councillors Bannister, Eakin, Howells,

Dear Councillor

You are invited attend a meeting of the **Traffic Management Working Party** which will be held via Zoom on **Tuesday, 18 August, 2020 at 4.00 pm** for the purposes of transacting the business set out below.

To join the meeting please use either the link below or the Meeting ID and Password.

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/83785260989?pwd=MTRXUEExDb1ZPMY9ST2lwcTVJMTZldz09>

Meeting ID: 837 8526 0989

Passcode: 768732

Yours faithfully

Angie Price
Town Clerk

A G E N D A

1. Apologies for absence
2. Declarations of Interest (Councillors only)
3. Terms of Reference (Pages 234-240)
4. Minutes of a meeting of the Traffic Management Working Party held on 9 March 2020 (Pages 241-243)

5. **Mabels Furlong & Parkway – Submissions and Survey update
(Pages 244-246)**
6. **Speed Indicators - update**
7. **To discuss 20 MPH restrictions**
8. **Parking on Masefield Avenue**
9. **Impact of development and proposed development of Traffic Management (Standing item)**
10. **Date of next meeting**

Distribution: Copies of full agenda papers to Working Party Members (4)
Copies of agenda front pages to all other Councillors (6)
File Copy (1)

Ledbury Town Council Traffic Management Working Party

Terms of reference

Aims:

The Economic Development & Planning Committee meeting of 8th November 2018 agreed the need to set up a Traffic Management Working Party (TMWP) to take a 'whole-town' approach for all the issues involved. The overall aims of the working party are therefore:

- To identify key points of concern in traffic flow and road safety in and around Ledbury
- To analyse feedback and produce a report with recommendations to present to Ledbury Town Council for approval to submit the report to Herefordshire Council
- To include as part of the report appendices on past traffic management issues and outcomes/conclusions in the past, such as by the police on investigations into speeding complaints
- Target date to complete the report is end of March 2019

Scope:

- To include access routes into Ledbury that are also in the parish
- To refer to past reports and documentation relating to traffic management issues as part of the information gathering (going back 2 years to Jan 2017)
- To include the recent Balfour Beatty 'Public Realm' report (which includes traffic management) and Ledbury's response (Full Council 16/8/18 - Councillors have also discussed the draft report with Mark Hadley and his team and submitted their comments) as part of the information gathering. Also review 'Rail and Bus for Herefordshire' (RBfH) response dated 01/08/18

Note: Town Council response priorities are:

1. Footpaths, cycle ways and disabled access,
2. Need to provide additional parking
3. Improving access to the railway station including to both platforms

- To identify and consult with relevant stakeholders to help locate specific concern areas
- To consider areas of concern known to exist and already referred to the working party
- To invite submissions and promote the opportunity as widely as possible

Ledbury Town Council Traffic Management Working Party

Terms of reference

Identified stakeholders aimed to consult with and when consulted:

('C' number refers to consultations record number – see spreadsheet)

- The local police and feedback to and from PACT meetings
 - Invite to consultation meeting sent by email to the Ledbury Safer Neighbourhood Team PC and the Traffic Management Advisor for Warwickshire Police & West Mercia Police by email 27/02/19
- Other emergency services – fire brigade, ambulance, air ambulance
- Traffic Wardens (enforcement team at Herefordshire Council)
- Herefordshire Travel and Transport department
- Balfour Beatty – Author of the Public Realm Report
- Balfour Beatty - Locality Steward covering Ledbury
 - Invite to consultation meeting sent by email 27/02/19
- The Ledbury Footpaths Officer
- Ledbury Town Plan Working Group (TPWG)
- Ledbury Neighbourhood Plan Working Party (NPWP)
- Herefordshire Locality Steward
- John Masefield High School (JMHS) – Deputy Head and Business Manager
 - Response received (C1)
- Ledbury Railway Station ticket office
- Network Rail
- Rail and Bus for Herefordshire
- Ledbury Traders Association
- Affected street residents and/or resident groups:
 - Parkway
 - Conducting a residents survey on the issues
 - Mabels Furlong and connecting streets
 - Conducting a residents survey on the issues
 - Belle Orchard
 - Bank Crescent & Homend Crescent, Knapp Lane

235

Ledbury Town Council Traffic Management Working Party

Terms of reference

- Church Street
- Bye Street
- Lower Road
- Ledbury groups:
 - Ledbury Area Cycle Forum
 - Cycling UK Ledbury Group
 - Ledbury Harriers
 - Ledbury Walkers' Club
 - The local Ramblers group
 - Ledbury Cricket Club
 - Ledbury Rugby Club
 - Have sent a report and attending meeting 11/03/19
 - Ledbury Town and Ledbury Swifts Football Clubs
 - Given feedback form 28/02/19
 - Disabled persons groups
 - Parents groups
 - Ledbury Motorcycle Club
 - Ring & Ride
- Developers - Barratts Homes
- Pughs (car boot sales and traffic caused)
- Supermarkets – Aldi, Coop, Tesco

Action areas considered from those already known and submissions received:

('S' number refers to submissions record number – see spreadsheet)

- Speeding and speed limits in the town and surrounding roads (such as in the Southend; and including to consider if the Council should be supporting a 20mph speed limit in parts of the town – ED&P 4/10/18, resident letter ref S2 & PACT 29/10/11; complaint about speeding traffic in/down Orchard Lane – Cllr Whattler (email 10/12/18 ref S11); on the Ross Road past Ledbury Rugby Club (ref S30). Town Council Section 106 wish list from 2017 also raises priority for considering a 20mph zone and traffic calming areas within the town.
- Parkway: Increasing traffic incidents and accidents at what is now increasingly seen by residents as an 'accident black spot' on the A417 in Parkway and the need to review current speed limits. Ledbury Reporter article (16/11/18 ref S6). A recent traffic report had given the average speed of vehicles through the village 40mph limit as being 48.8mph – obtained under a Freedom of Information request and a copy held at the Town Office; raised at Full Council 27/9/18). Installation of suggested 'gates' to mark the village boundaries,

236

Ledbury Town Council Traffic Management Working Party

Terms of reference

consider moving 40pmh sign on approach from Ledbury 100 yds nearer Ledbury to make clearer and more time so reduce speed before houses.

Speed Identification Devices (SIDs – complete 2019 survey?) and improved footpaths have been suggested – consider if they should be requested from Herefordshire Council and/or consider if the Town Council should consider funding any of these (ED&P 6/9/18). Review report by ADL Traffic and Highways Engineering Ltd on these matters to Herefordshire Council also considered by ED&P 6/9/18. Produce Parkway resident survey to get views on actions required (with Cllr Hopkins).

- Increased traffic flow of lorries and caravans in Falcon Lane C1308 and if any more mitigation actions such as a 7.5 tonne weight limit should be recommended – ED&P 4/10/18 & 31/5/18; also concerns over danger of access into Falcon Lane off Hereford Road after accident (ref S20)
- Resident/non-resident parking issues and consideration of requesting an increasing of Hereford Council priority for TROs (Traffic Regulation Orders) specifically in already discussed (ED&P 6/9/18) roads of Queens Court (resident submission to LTC 08/08/18, ref S1), Belle Orchard/Belle Orchard Close (including existing parking issues despite 'Access Only' signs – resident email ref S26) and Masefield Avenue.

Request to review TRO Residents Parking zone for Bank Crescent and parking issues around Bank Crescent, Knapp Lane and Homend Crescent, including a common issue raised throughout the town on parking causing increasingly great difficulty for emergency service and large vehicle access such as refuse trucks and delivery vans with the need for more yellow lines to control obstructive parking; review scope for a Park and Ride scheme (S34).

Parking in Bridge Street/Lower Road, Lower Road/Victoria Road, Biddulph Way parking on bends, Albert Road parking study and need for greater enforcement on town parking restrictions (S32)

The issue includes a review of how Belle Orchard secured no access signs TRO with costs and results and reviewing whether the Town Council should pay to help set up residents' 'controlled parking zones' parking schemes. 'Discussion of the desirability and practicality of introducing Parking Permits' referred to TMWP by Full Council 27/9/18; query of legality of parking jutting across pavement (resident email ref S28).

A 'Voice of Ledbury' FB post (S37) illustrated the nature of the problem for the WP to consider: 'Unfortunately the parking is a problem where the existing houses are, properties that were built without parking spaces, old roads of houses with no room for parking etc and a lot of the problem comes from

Ledbury Town Council Traffic Management Working Party

Terms of reference

inconsiderate people parking in their roads and walking to work from them rather than using the car parks or walking to work if they live in Ledbury'.

- Shoppers/visitor car/coach parking – Bye Street coach park; potential for Park & Ride Scheme with electric town bus. Ledbury Reporter article on need for relaxing parking rules (ref S21); resident emails on parking problems throughout the town (ref S27 and S29). Investigate Localism Act 2011 'right to challenge' options for eg. LTC to take on car parking facilities (a lot of support for this, such as a post on the Voice of Ledbury).
- Impact of known and potential new developments on traffic movements and management issues, especially the Viaduct site development plans and controversial re-scheduled exit onto Bosbury Road instead of the original outline planning proposal to go under the viaduct onto the ring road/Hereford Road roundabout - Ledbury Reporter article (07/12/18 ref S9 and reader letter ref S12, resident email ref S24). Facebook posts re concerns over new Leadon Way roundabout (ref S17) and Ledbury Reporter article on the safety of that roundabout (S35).

Town Council Section 106 wish list from 2017 identifies priority for pedestrian crossings at key points such as Gloucester road near Biddulph Way and Hereford Road near Saxon Way. Suggestions have also been made for crossings by the Full Pitcher across the ring road to the Ross Road and pavement to the rugby and cricket clubs. Consider recommendation to engage own LTC Traffic Consultant on these and issues such as Parkway.

- Traffic and speeding around the entrance to JMHS and school parking issues including the effect on Mabels Furlong and other roads near to JMHS; teachers parking in Mabels furlong being unfair and residents inconsiderate parking (S37). Pedestrian access to the school concerns (Dep Head ref S18). Town Council Section 106 wish list from 2017 identifies priority for a mini-roundabout for this area. JMHS input (S33) re yellow lines, school car park availability, access along Mabels Furlong, Southend pavement safety and related parking
- How footpaths, PRowS and cycleways, including disabled access relate to improve/mitigate traffic issue and any additions/improvements required. Including: footpath from JMHS to New Street beside the Coop (ED&P 12/7/18); LTC decision at the Annual Council Meeting 24/05/2018 for ED&P to progress request for PRow M293 to be added to the definitive map (considered by ED&P 31/5/18); improvements to/maintenance of Ledbury Town Trail (ED&P 12/7/18) and narrow pavements in the town and near to/on the way to schools.

Footpath from Ledbury to Parkway development including formally adopting and making more accessible for all pedestrians (and cyclists) from the town to

238

Ledbury Town Council Traffic Management Working Party

Terms of reference

Parkway along the A417 – Section 106 funding option? PRowS improved signs from Parkway across fields to Gloucester connection, re-instating old footpath from Dymock Road west across Leadon Brook to join up with paths in Gloucestershire. Foot & cyclepath extension New Mills to Aldi.(resident email ref S10).

This includes, given the LTC 'Public Realm' report response of footpaths, cycle ways and disabled access as being the Council's number one priority, reviewing outcome of agreed action at the ED&P meeting of 03/01/19 to write to ask BB/HC to reconsider a decision not to add three footpaths to the definitive map (letter from BB to LTC dated 19/12/18) and to emphasis LTC support for additional footpaths and especially between Parkway and Ledbury. As part of this exercise review LTC decision at the Annual Council Meeting 24/05/2018 for ED&P to progress request for PRow M293 to be added to the definitive map.

Town Council Section 106 wish list from 2017 also identifies priority for better pedestrian provision with wider pavements

- Cycle lane markings (such as around the Primary School to Golding Way – ED&P 4/10/18) and any recommendations to be made
- Areas of double yellow lines and if any recommendations should be made, including already submitted request to consider placing lines between the Homend Garage and Knapp Lane (ED&P 4/10/18; resident email ref S3) and putting up 'No Left Turn' sign into Knapp Lane (S36); on the Homend where parking obscures road exits (resident email ref S25); Churchill Meadow exit onto Little Marcle Road (resident emails ref S5 & S31); entrance to JMHS (consultation ref C1). Dropped kerb yellow lines in Lawnside Road (resident letter ref S14 and Ledbury Reporter article ref S15)
- Town Council Section 106 wish list from 2017 identifies need to remodel some road junctions to increase safety, such as in Bye-Bridge-Lower Roads, Woodleigh Road and Little Marcle Road.
- Suggestions/need for new/improved 'No Through Road', 'No Access' 'Access Only' or 'No Entry' signs such as to Market Street 'No Through Road' (ED&P 6/9/18 - BT to be approached for permission for a new sign on one of their posts and SatNav companies to be contacted to change their mapping software), Mabels Furlong 'Access Only' (ED&P 6/9/18 and TMWP 27/11/18; resident petition ref S7; resident emails ref S22 and S23) and in Church Street 'No Entry'. Are CCTV installations a practical option as well as signs?
- Parking and grass verge issues/concrete bollard replacement in Mabels Furlong and Bray Lane (ED&P 6/9/18 & report by Neil James, Locality

Ledbury Town Council Traffic Management Working Party

Terms of reference

Steward, Herefordshire Council 17/05/18), produce residents' survey to identify resident views.

- Ledbury Town Plan 2016 - traffic, transport and access issues raised in (non-car owners, travel schemes, road quality, road safety & traffic circulation, car parking, walking & cycling, trains & buses)
- Ledbury Neighbourhood Development Plan – transport & infrastructure issues – sustainable transport, railway station
- Review and any recommendations for the Top Cross traffic lights phasing as per resident request (TMWP 27/11/18; resident email ref S4)
- Parking at and around the railway station including impact on local streets (resident email ref S13; Voice of Ledbury Facebook posts (ref S16) and also access to eastbound platform, Voice of Ledbury Facebook posts (ref S19)
- Various references to the extremely bad road surface on The Homend from the station to Knapp Lane, including S38.

240

NOTES OF A MEETING OF THE TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT WORKING PARTY
MEETING HELD ON 9 MARCH 2020

PRESENT: Councillors Bannister (Chair), Howells and Manns

ALSO PRESENT: Angie Price – Town Clerk
Michelle ? – Mabels Furlong Resident

19. **APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

No apologies had been received

20. **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

No declarations of interest were made

21. **NOTES OF A MEETING OF THE TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT WORKING PARTY HELD ON 27 JANUARY 2020**

RESOLVED:

That the notes of the meeting of the Traffic Management Working Party held on 27 January be received as a correct record.

22. **TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDERS APPLICATION TOOL KIT**

At the previous meeting of the Traffic Management Working Party it had been agreed the Clerk would invite the relevant Herefordshire council Officer to a meeting to discuss Traffic Regulation Orders.

The Clerk had contacted Hereford Council in respect of this and the officer had suggested the committee consider the attached Tool Kit initially and should they still feel they would like the officer to attend this be arranged.

The Chair advised that whilst the Tool Kit was helpful, it was a lot of information that he felt the group would benefit from being able to speak directly to an officer and therefore requested the Clerk to make arrangements for the officer to attend the next meeting of the Traffic Management Working Party.

RESOLVED:

1. That the Traffic Regulation Orders Application Tool Kit be received and noted.
2. That the Clerk invite Graham Hornsby, the Traffic Regulation Order Officer at Herefordshire Council to the next meeting of the group.

23. **MABELS FURLONG & PARKWAY – SUBMISSINOS AND SURVEY UPDATE**

The Chair advised that he had not been able to provide any update on this item and therefore it as agreed to defer this item to the next meeting.

It was also agreed that two other tool kits be made available to members of the group, the Community Commissioning Tool Kit and the SID's Tool Kit.

Michelle provided new photographs providing evidence of the parking issues on Mabels Furlong, which were noted.

It was agreed that road repairs as part of devolved services should be an item that the council discusses in its upcoming meeting with Herefordshire Cabinet.

24. **SPEED LIMIT CONCERNS ON WORCESTER ROAD**

Members were made aware of an email received from a concerned resident in respect of speeding on the Worcester Road.

It was agreed that the Clerk would approach Herefordshire Police and enquire whether they have any figures in respect of speeding in this area, that they could use to support a case for the introduction of a SID.

25. **PARKING ON MASEFIELD AVENUE**

Members were provided with a copy of a letter that had previously been sent to Councillor Harvey in respect of parking on Masefield Avenue.

Members discussed various points raised in the letter and the following was agreed.

1. That Masefield Avenue Parking become a standing item on all future Traffic Management Working Party agendas.
3. That the Traffic Regulation Order Officer be asked about the possibility of having disabled bays put outside specific residents to assist with parking in the street and whether it would be possible to have double yellow lines put on the corners of the roads to stop people parking dangerously.
4. That the enforcement officers be notified of the dangerous parking in the area and on Masefield Avenue with a view to having more of a presence in the area.

26. **PARKING AT JUNCTION OF NEWBURY PARK AND HOMEND**

Members were provided with a copy of correspondence from a resident in respect of parking at the junction of Newbury Park and Homend.

Members agreed that they should investigate the parking in this area and in the meantime the Clerk should investigate the possibility of Ledbury Town Council providing funding for a further enforcement officer be investigated.

27. **REPORT FROM KEITH FRANCIS ON WEST MIDLANDS STAKEHOLDER ROUND TABLE**

The report from Keith was received and noted and members were provided with an update from the Clerk in respect of the second Workshop in respect of active travel, being hosted in partnership with West Midlands Trains.

The Clerk advised that she had received an email from Jon Harris asking if it would be possible to hold the second workshop at the end of March or early April. The Clerk advised that this would not be possible due to other commitments of the Council and that she had emailed Jon Harris to advise him of this.

28. **IMPACT OF DEVELOPMENT AND PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT**

The chair advised that he did not have anything to offer in respect of this standing item at this meeting.

29. **DATE OF NEXT MEETING**

It was agreed that the date of the next meeting would be arranged around the availability of the Hereford Council Traffic Officer.

The meeting ended at 6.10 pm.

LTC TMWP - House no anonymised Mabels Furlong and area residents parking survey March 2019

Road and no: MF = 14 BA = 13 WD = 8 TOTAL = 35	House number (Anonymised version)	Survey number	Do you think there is a parking problem in and around these three roads?	If yes - reason	Do you want a resident parking Scheme?	Do you have any ideas on how to manage parking and access for the 3 roads?	Do you think an 'Access Only' or 'Residents Only' sign at the entrance of MF would be sufficient to address the parking problem?	Would you be in favour of some sort of protected parking / permit parking?	What would you suggest we do with the grass verges such as along MF and at the turning into BA?	Do you have any thoughts on if and when double yellow lines or single yellow lines should be painted?	Do you have any issues with Drop Kerb parking?	How many vehicles are there in your household that regularly park in the area?	Do you anticipate increasing or decreasing the number of vehicles in the future?	Would you be prepared to pay for CCTV coverage to monitor access?	Would you be prepared to pay monthly/early/one off payment?	Any other comments?
MF		M1	Yes	Cars are parked anywhere.	-	-	No	Yes	Should be protected	-	No	1	Increasing	See later	-	What would you do with information on CCTV?
MF		M2	Yes	Town visitors / Workers	Yes	-	Yes	Not really	Leave it be	Beginning of MF	No	2	decreasing	No		I'm happy for a parking scheme - I've got driveway so doesn't affect me We are tired of people parking here and going down to town. Residents are struggling to park their own cars as well as care assistants or even ambulances always have to park in the middle of the road
MF		M3	Yes	People park for hours for shopping or work instead of using car parks also school use it for parking	-	Access only	Access only - Yes - if not give parking fines	Not really	-	1. On entering MF. 2. Outside elec shed	No	1	No			
MF		M4	Yes	Access in and out of MF is hazardous due to the many vehicles parked in and around the access. School time is worst.	Not willing to pay to park, pay enough in taxes	1. Difficult as there are too many vehicles on the road and we live by the school and close to town and the houses along MF were built with no parking. 2. People who are not residents need to avoid parking around the area and be more considerate to residents BUT also residents need to be more considerate to each other and reduce the number of cars and park more considerately	Yes - definitely worth a go at least it backs us up when we tell non residents not to park on these roads We want non residents to be more considerate and think about not parking as it causes inconvenience. It's frustrating when you have shopping and a little one.	Not willing to pay, already pay enough in taxes.	Some of the grass verges could be turned into extra parking for RESIDENTS and VISITORS	Yes - in hazardous areas	Not sure what the question is asking but if someone was to put a drive and paid the Council to drop the kerb then no-one should park next to it blocking access unless that resident gives permission. But this restricts off road parking which isn't a good thing for other residents and visitors.	2	No	-	-	
MF		M5	Yes	None resident parking	Yes	No	No	Yes	Remove it	No	No	1	No	Yes	No	
MF		M6	Yes	People in and around Ledbury who work, using the road as a free car park and parents dropping and collecting children from school blocking both ends of the road. Plus car parking on corner of entrance from main road. Accident waiting to happen.	Not willing to pay to park, pay enough in taxes		yes	No	It would be a shame to lose all the grass but it would help the parking problems if the road was widened, perhaps to the same width as existing layby.	1. Main school entrance 2. Southend and Gloucester Road entrance	Always understood that drop kerb meant no parking in front of entrance	1	No	No		
MF		M7	yes	People park in the roads all day while they go to work so when residents come back from school runs or shopping there is nowhere for them to park and nowhere for parents picking up children to park, forcing double parking at school at pick up time.	No - don't think this would work because of the school and a lot of elderly residents who have carers and nurse visits	1. Access only sign 2. Traffic warden patrolling the road.	I think access only sign would help then only people who have business in the road need to come down as it is a no through road. Would make people think twice about parking down here.	No	I think the areas should be dug up and replaced with gravel	1. Double yellow lines at the entrance to MF on both sides.	No	2	No	No	-	The road is a danger at school times because there is nowhere to park so people pull up at the junction on the grass forcing children to walk in the road.
MF		M8	Yes	People parking all day going to work in town, unable to park at all	-	1. Take part of the grass away and make allocated parking for residents but also keep as access only.	Access only - we are no through road	No	Make the bottom of Bray Avenue wider, take out completely.	On entrance into MF from the main road.	No	1 - disability badge holder	No	No		This needs to be addressed urgently for us residents as an accident will happen.
MF		M9	Yes	People leaving their cars here and going into town. People dropping kids at school, parents waiting to collect kids after school.	Yes as long as there is no cost	1. No parking - only for residents. 2. No waiting 3. Extra parking spaces	No - as people ignore it	No as we pay enough to the Council	arking	Double lines from main road onto BA up to the turn	Yes as people park in front of our off road parking we think people will ignore it, as happens now.	2	Inc. Our son will have a car in the future	No		
MF		M10	Yes	Very congested	No	School should ask parents not to park down MF when collecting	Access Only	No			No	2		No		
MF		M11	Yes	Parking in our area is nothing short of horrendous. Very rarely can we park outside our OWN HOMES!!!		Take out grass verges and keep roads access only	It would be a good start	No - I'm a pensioner	Remove grass on BA/WD corner	Off main road - corner into MF	No	1	No	No		I should not have to pay for anything involving parking.
MF		M12	Yes	Parking at the entrance of MF can pose a problem for school and emergency vehicles. People living and working in the Southend and people from JMHS use MF as a car park, stopping residents from parking.	No	1. Access only signs at entrance of MF 2. Parking restrictions from Southend to entrance of MF and entrance of JMHS	Yes - Access only	No	All missing concrete posts along verge in MF should be replaced with concrete or metal posts to stop parking on verges. Should widen entrance to BA to allow better access replacing missing posts with concrete or metal posts to stop parking	1. Double yellow lines should be painted on left hand side as you enter MF from the Southend	Yes - we have a drop kerb and occasionally we have had people park in front of it	3	No	No		I really do think that a solution to the parking at the entrance of MF should be found as soon as possible because it's an accident waiting to happen.
MF		M13	Yes	There is a lot of cars parking from the road for the school	No		Yes	No	Leave grass verges as they are		No	1	No	yes	£5	

MF		M14	Yes	There is never space for residents on the homes on the streets	For	1. Resident only signs 2. No waiting / access for non residents (long term)	Yes - it would sort the problem		Keep the verges	At the entrance of the turn into MF	No	1	no	Yes	£5 monthly	
BA		B1	Yes	People dropping off kids for school. People with drives being disrespectful to those that done, eg, neighbour moves his work van off his drive into my spot on side of road when we go out so he can fit his 2 cars on his drive	Good idea but whether or not I want one cant really say as I will need more info about changes	Maybe the school could have a drop and go system in the school grounds after all how long does it take to drop off a child	Maybe but I'm thinking people will just ignore it	Depends on cost but yes good idea	Well they should tarmac them with marked out parking spaces. People park on the grass anyway.	1. On entrance off main road into MF 2. On entrance to BA	Yes - people with dropped kerbs with 2 or more cars should park in front of their dropped kerbs if other people need to park on side of the road	1	No	Yes	Depends how much	There's a large grassed area behind the bungalow in BA, maybe something could be done with that to improve parking.
BA		B2	Yes	Quite often unable to park outside or even near the property		How about allocated and marked bays for each property	Yes - plus additional signs on the entrance to BA and WD	Yes	Post it off to prevent parking	No	No	1	possibly	Yes	£5 monthly	A permit scheme would only be effective if regularly patrolled
BA		B3	Yes	Problems coming home as residents from lower area have parked in our spaces	Yes		Yes	Yes	Widen the entrance	Yellow lines	No	1		No		
BA		B4	Yes	Parking on pavements and near road junctions. People using the roads as an overspill for JMHS parking.	No		Yes, it would help	No	Round off corner of verge into BA to give better access for HGVs and use rest of space for resident parking	1. At entrance to JMHS off Gloucester Road 2. Entrance to MF and BA	No	0	No	No		
BA		B5	Yes	People parking and walking into town, people picking up from JMHS		BA - remove grassed area and replace with parking spaces	No	Yes if affordable	Remove them, no need for them, including grass verges on BA	Junction of MF and BA	No	2	No	No - Council should pay		
BA		B6	Yes	Many people who do not live around here think it's okay to park by our houses all day leaving us nowhere to park	Yes, we would like a scheme	1. Traffic warden 2. Allocated parking zones for residents and visitors only 3. 2 parking spaces for each house, not including driveway	Yes, numerous signs along this road	Yes	Tarmac and make more car parking spaces	Only directly outside the school, outside where the cars come out of the school and in the school	No	1	Increasing with son driving in future	Yes	Whatever it takes	Non residents should NOT be allowed garages Very little space for emergency vehicles during school peak times People park outside school entrance instead of school car park
BA		B7				No	No	No			No			No		
BA		B8	Yes	Cars everywhere blocking my drive's dropped kerb	Yes - would need monitoring	1. Small car park in grass banks 2. Limit how many cars per household on road 3. Provide council properties with private drive each	No	Yes	Turn into small car parks	Turning onto MF from main road corner turning into BA	Yes	3 - 2 cars on drive, 1 on road	Yes	No - have own installed		
BA		B9	Yes	People parking in MF and going into town to work/shopping	Yes		Residents only sign is a start but a more permanent solution is needed	Yes	Lorries are always curving the corner into BA and leave the grassed area in a mess removing the grass and tarmac would make more sense and give more parking spaces	On the bend into MF as this is a blind bend	No	1	No	Yes		
BA		B10	Yes	People park all day going to work in town. School traffic parking of staff and sixth form		1. Give more parking to residents by taking grass areas out but the grass outside my house take this out and widen the road for access up to BA/WD which would stop people parking there and blocking the view when come down from WD/BA to turn to go out	I would hope so but this needs to be monitored	No	Take the grass away and make it easier to turn and allow vision better coming down, which is dangerous when vehicles are parked on grass	On the entrance off the main road, this is a blind bend coming into MF and BA	No	2	No	No - pay enough taxes		The Council need to sort this urgently there will be an accident before long on entrance into MF and school junction. School needs to make it clear to parents and pupils - re picking up and drop off block our roads!
BA		B11	Yes	Our road are used as a public car park during term time	Not sure	None	Yes	No	leave it as it is	On entrance off main road	No	2	no	No		
BA		B12	Y	Too many vehicles and not enough space	-	-	No	No	Remove grass to create extra parking and in the process create better access to BA	No	No	1	No	No		
BA		B13	Yes	People park all day and go to work			Yes	No	Take out large grass area on corner to BA and widen turning point, better view coming down BA to go right	On entrance from Southend into MF/BA on left. Blind spot on bend very dangerous	No	1	No			This is a no through road. Should be residents only parking.
WD		W1	Yes	Road entry to BA gets very congested. Also initial entry to MF making it difficult to approach the junction onto the main road	No	Cut out grass verge off the entrance to BA	Access only	No	Remove	Into BA but allowing residents to be able to park alongside their property. Double yellows on immediate entry to MF	No	1	No	No		parents dropping off or picking up children make maneuvering very difficult at start and end of school day.

WD		W2	Yes	Too many cars parking wherever, upon grass verges, pavements etc, preventing people walking with pushchairs	Good idea	1. 30 Minute wait zones during school pick up, traffic wardens to monitor. 2. Provide more parking facilities, could JMHS be used in the evenings. 3. Remove grass areas that arent used to provide adequate parking for residents	No, people would just ignore this, for example when JMHS closes, parents often park wherever they can	yes, depending upon the cost	Turn these into resident parking spaces, they are being churned up by vehicles due to lack of space to pass cars.	1. On the corner as you turn into MF, very dangerous when cars aprk there, double yellow lines. 2. Single yellow lines as you turn into BA. Lorries and delivery vehicles struggle to manouvre	Yes. Dropped kerbs have been implemented to reduce parking in the road and people have paid their own money to make this happen that will ensure they have access to their property	2	No - own driveway to remove our vehicles from road	No		There is going to be a serious accident soon if nothing is done about the poor parking along MF
WD		W3	Yes	Immediately off the Southend into MF people park there. It is dangerous	Not really	1. Double yellow lines by JMHS inbetween Southend and the start of M, 2. On left hand side as turning into JMHS	Access only would be nice for BA and WD, MF too	No	Remove grass and turn into extra parking for residents only	Double yellow lines between Southend and start of MF	No	2	No	No		Make the process for people wanting to install drop kerb for off road parking easier and cheaper
WD		W4	Yes	With the number of cars parked on the road at all different times of the day/night there is not enough space to safely manoeuvre or let cars past. This is particularly bad at the bottom of BA where it meets MF. It is also very difficult when cars are driving up and down BA to WD as drivers then must reverse up and down a hill which is very dangerous. In WD residents and visitors parking in turning corner make it very difficult and sometimes impossible for me to turn my car around. My drive has been blocked in in the past by visitors parking. Residents are frequently having to turn into my drive and other people's drives. Cars are often parked on grass verges near the entrance off the main road. This is incredibly dangerous and causes issues with visibility when exiting MF. Parking should not be allowed here.	Yes	1. Residents Parking Zone and Access Only to limit the number of visitor cars, parents of children and people walking into town parking and dropping off in the area. 2. Remove all grass verges including at the bottom of BA along BA and at the top of BA where it meets WD which do not serve any purpose and possibly some of the verges along MF. Doing so would drastically improve the parking situation as there would be space for cars to pull in and manoeuvre to let passing traffic flow. It would make the biggest difference in dealing with the issue of there not being enough space for residents and their own cars. It could provide additional space for residents to park as there isn't enough parking space at the moment. 3. Measures put in place to stop vans/cars parking near the main road entrance/exit	It would improve matters by limiting parking of non residents and reduce traffic, however, it would not resolve all the problems	yes, depending upon the cost	Remove them. Also remove verges along BA and at the top of BA where it meets WD so that cars can safely park and pass one another	1. At entrance/exit to main road from MF to prevent cars/vans parking there causing poor visibility. 2. If grass verges are removed create areas where cars can't park and where it is for passing only	No	2	No	Yes	£10 per month	I would be prepared to pay but only if the coverage resulted in action for example fining people. Also only if the road was changed to remove grass verges. Parking permits and CCTV will only reduce the parking/traffic of non residents. There is still an issue with lack of space for parking for residents and all of their cars.
WD		W5	Yes	People leaving cars when they don't live here	No - people ought to be able to park outside their houses	Residents and visitors only sign	Yes	No	Widen the corner. No parking on the grass signs, No parking signs. Residents and visitors at the top of WD		No	1	No	No		Car and caravan parked permanently in WD don't live in WD. People pay a lot of money for garages
WD		W6	Yes	Car parking on the corner by school entrance			No		Always in a bad state, tarmac them	Yellow lines on corner from Southend to BA	No	None	No	No		
WD		W7	Yes	MF seems to be the worst as people park and leave car to go to work		1. Take out grass verges 2. Single yellow lines (no parking after a certain time)	Possibly but would they take notice of it	No just because I'm not able to afford £4,000 just to park my car outside my house	I think they should be taken out and made into parking spaces	MF	No	2	No			
WD		W8	Yes	Nowhere to park outsiders use it to go into town		1. Stop people parking. No permit a fine. 2. Give empty garages to the people who live in those roads	Yes	Yes	Get them taken away	1. At the entrance to MF by the school and on the corner	No	1	No	No		

